



US009169645B1

(12) **United States Patent**
Kalkanoglu et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,169,645 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Oct. 27, 2015**

(54) **SHINGLE WITH REINFORCEMENT LAYER**

(71) Applicant: **Certain Teed Corporation**, Valley Forge, PA (US)

(72) Inventors: **Husnu M. Kalkanoglu**, Swarthmore, PA (US); **Stephen A. Koch**, Collegeville, PA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Certain Teed Corporation**, Valley Forge, PA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.
This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/627,757**

(22) Filed: **Feb. 20, 2015**

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 14/307,677, filed on Jun. 18, 2014, now Pat. No. 8,959,876, which is a continuation of application No. 14/284,492, filed on May 22, 2014, now Pat. No. 8,959,875, which is a continuation of application No. 14/068,306, filed on Oct. 31, 2013, now Pat. No. 8,950,161, which is a
(Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**

E04D 1/00 (2006.01)
E04D 1/26 (2006.01)
C09D 195/00 (2006.01)
E04D 1/34 (2006.01)
E04D 1/28 (2006.01)
E04D 1/20 (2006.01)
B32B 11/02 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC **E04D 1/26** (2013.01); **C09D 195/00** (2013.01); **B32B 11/02** (2013.01); **B32B 2260/021** (2013.01); **B32B 2260/042** (2013.01);

B32B 2262/101 (2013.01); **B32B 2419/06** (2013.01); **E04D 1/20** (2013.01); **E04D 1/28** (2013.01); **E04D 1/34** (2013.01); **E04D 2001/005** (2013.01); **E04D 2001/3455** (2013.01); **E04D 2001/3461** (2013.01); **E04D 2001/3482** (2013.01); **Y10T 156/10** (2015.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC **B32B 2/24**; **B32B 11/02**; **B32B 2250/02**; **B32B 2255/20**; **B32B 2260/021**; **B32B 2262/101**; **B32B 395/00**; **B32B 2260/042**; **B32B 307/5825**; **B32B 2419/06**; **E04D 1/26**; **E04D 1/22**; **E04D 1/20**; **E04D 1/28**; **E04D 1/34**; **E04D 2001/005**; **Y10T 156/10**; **Y10T 428/25**
USPC **52/518**, **555**, **559**, **520**, **543**, **546**, **544**, **52/547**, **748.1**; **428/323**, **143**, **40.1**; **427/143**, **187**

See application file for complete search history.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

1,524,090 A 5/1921 Finley
2,099,131 A 2/1934 Miller
(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Decision by the Board to Institute Inter Partes Review in IPR 2014-01397 now pending in USPTO, published Mar. 9, 2015.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Chi Q Nguyen


(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Paul & Paul

(57)

ABSTRACT

A shingle, a method of making it, and a roof embodying the shingle is provided, in which an exterior surface of the shingle is provided with an attached reinforcement layer through which fasteners may be applied when the shingle is applied to a roof.

13 Claims, 10 Drawing Sheets



Related U.S. Application Data

continuation of application No. 13/855,820, filed on Apr. 3, 2013, now Pat. No. 8,615,968, which is a continuation of application No. 13/788,029, filed on Mar. 7, 2013, now Pat. No. 8,592,025, which is a continuation of application No. 13/462,159, filed on May 2, 2012, now Pat. No. 8,409,689, which is a continuation of application No. 12/857,868, filed on Aug. 17, 2010, now Pat. No. 8,173,243, which is a continuation of application No. 12/422,506, filed on Apr. 13, 2009, now Pat. No. 7,781,046, which is a continuation of application No. 11/421,893, filed on Jun. 2, 2006, now Pat. No. 7,537,820, which is a continuation-in-part of application No. 10/871,911, filed on Jun. 18, 2004, now Pat. No. 7,118,794, which is a continuation of application No. 10/288,747, filed on Nov. 6, 2002, now Pat. No. 6,758,019.

(56)

References Cited**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

| | | | |
|-----------|------|---------|--------------------------------|
| 2,113,644 | A | 4/1938 | Bollaert |
| 2,161,440 | A | 6/1939 | Venrick |
| 2,197,972 | A | 4/1940 | Ernst |
| 2,863,405 | A | 12/1958 | Leibbrook et al. |
| 3,252,257 | A | 5/1966 | Price et al. |
| 3,762,975 | A | 10/1973 | Iwasaki et al. |
| 3,813,280 | A | 5/1974 | Olszyk et al. |
| 3,894,376 | A | 7/1975 | Shearer |
| 3,921,358 | A | 11/1975 | Bettoli |
| 3,998,685 | A | 12/1976 | Czyzewski et al. |
| 4,039,706 | A | 8/1977 | Tajima et al. |
| 4,250,221 | A | 2/1981 | Pfeffer |
| 4,333,279 | A | 6/1982 | Corbin et al. |
| 4,352,837 | A | 10/1982 | Kopenhaver |
| 4,472,243 | A | 9/1984 | Bondoc et al. |
| 4,610,902 | A | 9/1986 | Eastman et al. |
| 4,636,414 | A | 1/1987 | Tajima et al. |
| 4,848,057 | A | 7/1989 | MacDonald et al. |
| 4,875,321 | A | 10/1989 | Rohner |
| 5,052,162 | A | 10/1991 | Bush et al. |
| 5,186,980 | A | 2/1993 | Koschitzky |
| 5,195,290 | A | 3/1993 | Hulett |
| 5,239,802 | A | 8/1993 | Robinson |
| 5,347,785 | A | 9/1994 | Terrenzio et al. |
| 5,439,726 | A | 8/1995 | Woiceshyn |
| 5,488,807 | A | 2/1996 | Terrenzio et al. |
| 5,571,596 | A | 11/1996 | Johnson |
| 5,577,361 | A | 11/1996 | Grabek, Jr. |
| 5,611,186 | A | 3/1997 | Weaver |
| 5,660,014 | A | 8/1997 | Stahl et al. |
| 5,664,385 | A | 9/1997 | Koschitzky |
| 5,666,776 | A | 9/1997 | Weaver et al. |
| 5,822,943 | A | 10/1998 | Frankoski et al. |
| 5,860,263 | A | 1/1999 | Sieling et al. |
| 5,950,387 | A | 9/1999 | Stahl et al. |
| 6,092,345 | A | 7/2000 | Kalkanoglu et al. |
| 6,145,265 | A | 11/2000 | Malarkey et al. |
| 6,228,785 | B1 | 5/2001 | Miller et al. |
| 6,247,289 | B1 | 6/2001 | Karpinia |
| 6,341,462 | B2 | 1/2002 | Kiik et al. |
| 6,397,556 | B1 | 6/2002 | Karpinia |
| 6,426,309 | B1 | 7/2002 | Miller et al. |
| 6,510,664 | B2 | 1/2003 | Kupeczyk |
| 6,758,019 | B2 * | 7/2004 | Kalkanoglu et al. 52/553 |
| 7,118,794 | B2 * | 10/2006 | Kalkanoglu et al. 428/143 |
| 7,351,462 | B2 | 4/2008 | Friedman et al. |

| | | | |
|--------------|------|---------|---------------------------------|
| 7,537,820 | B2 * | 5/2009 | Kalkanoglu et al. 428/143 |
| 7,781,041 | B2 | 8/2010 | Broyles |
| 7,781,046 | B2 * | 8/2010 | Kalkanoglu et al. 428/143 |
| 7,836,654 | B2 | 11/2010 | Belt |
| 8,173,243 | B2 * | 5/2012 | Kalkanoglu et al. 428/143 |
| 8,383,228 | B2 * | 2/2013 | Kalkanoglu et al. 428/143 |
| 8,409,689 | B2 * | 4/2013 | Kalkanoglu et al. 428/143 |
| 8,592,025 | B2 * | 11/2013 | Kalkanoglu et al. 428/143 |
| 8,615,968 | B2 * | 12/2013 | Kalkanoglu et al. 52/748.1 |
| 8,950,161 | B2 * | 2/2015 | Kalkanoglu et al. 52/748.1 |
| 8,959,875 | B2 * | 2/2015 | Kalkanoglu et al. 52/748.1 |
| 8,959,876 | B2 * | 2/2015 | Kalkanoglu et al. 52/748.1 |
| 2001/0055680 | A1 | 12/2001 | Kiik et al. |
| 2002/0110679 | A1 | 8/2002 | Miller et al. |
| 2002/0160151 | A1 | 10/2002 | Pinault et al. |
| 2005/0130519 | A1 | 6/2005 | Rodrigues et al. |
| 2005/0204675 | A1 | 9/2005 | Snyder et al. |
| 2011/0041421 | A1 | 2/2011 | Jenkins |
| 2014/0053492 | A1 | 2/2014 | Kalkanoglu et al. |

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Decision by the Board to Institute Inter Partes Review in IPR 2014-01401 now pending in USPTO, published Mar. 9, 2015.
 Decision by the Board to Institute Inter Partes Review in IPR 2014-01402 now pending in USPTO, published Mar. 9, 2015.
 Decision by the Board to Institute Inter Partes Review in TPR 2014-01403 now pending in USPTO, published Mar. 9, 2015.
 Decision by the Board to Institute Inter Partes Review in IPR 2014-01404 now pending in USPTO, published Mar. 9, 2015.
 Corrected Declaration of Michael L. Bryson Regarding U.S. Pat. No. 7,781,046, U.S. Pat. No. 8,383,228, U.S. Pat. No. 8,409,689, U.S. Pat. No. 8,592,025, and U.S. Pat. No. 8,615,968, submitted in Inter Partes Review Nos. 2014-01397, 2014-01401, 2014-01402, 2014-01403, and 2014-01404 on Nov. 20, 2014.
 Declaration of Michael L. Bryson Regarding U.S. Pat. Nos. 8,950,161, 8,959,875, 8,959,876, submitted in Inter Partes Review Nos. 2015-01159, 2015-01160, and 2015-01161, on May 11, 2015.
 Consumer Reports Magazine, Aug. 1997; "Shingles and Siding, A Roof Over Your Head" pp. 26-30; publisher-Consumer Reports, USA.
 The American Heritage Dictionary; 4th Edition, published by Houghton Mifflin Company; Boston and New York, USA 2009; title page, copyright page; pp. 21, 583 and 1472.
 Asphalt Shingles-A Century of Success and Improvement Article; publisher unknown; published in USA 1993; article by Michael J. Noone and W. Kent Blanchard; pp. 23-33. The year of publication is sufficiently earlier than the effective U.S. filing date of Nov. 6, 2002 date that the particular month of publication is not in issue.
 Asphalt Roofing Shingles Article; published in Proceedings of the 11th Conference on Roofing Technology; published 1995 in USA; article by Carl G. Cash; pp. 1-9; published San Francisco, California, USA. The year of publication is sufficiently earlier than the effective U.S. filing date of Nov. 6, 2002 date that the particular month of publication is not in issue.
 ASTM D 3462-02; "Standard Specification for Asphalt Shingles Made from Glass Felt and Surfaced with Mineral Granules" article published by ASTM Committee D08; published Mar. 2002 in USA by ASTM International; pp. 161-165.
 ARMA Roofing Manual 1997; Asphalt Roofing Manufacturers Association; pp. 1-112; 24 CFR 200, Subpart 5; publication in USA. The year of publication is sufficiently earlier than the effective U.S. filing date of Nov. 6, 2002 date that the particular month of publication is not in issue.
 Bryson Declaration of Aug. 29, 2014 published Aug. 29, 2014 in the USA; by Owens Corning pp. 1-424; IPR 2014-1397, 1401, 1402, 1403 and 1404.

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1

PRIOR ART




FIG. 2




FIG. 2A




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 4A

FIG. 4B





FIG. 4C

PRIOR ART




FIG. 4D




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8

1

SHINGLE WITH REINFORCEMENT LAYER**CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

This is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 14/307,677, filed Jun. 18, 2014, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,959,876, which, in turn is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 14/284,492 filed May 22, 2014, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,959,875, which, in turn is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 14/068,306 filed Oct. 31, 2013, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,950,161, which, in turn is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 13/855,820 filed Apr. 3, 2013, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,615,968 which, in turn is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 13/788,029 filed Mar. 7, 2013, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,592,025 which, in turn is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 13/462,159 filed May 2, 2012, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,409,689 which, in turn, is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 12/857,868 filed Aug. 17, 2010, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,173,243 which in turn is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 12/422,506 filed Apr. 13, 2009, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,781,046 which in turn is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 11/421,893, filed Jun. 2, 2006, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,537,820 which, in turn, is a continuation-in-part of U.S. application Ser. No. 10/871,911, filed Jun. 18, 2004, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,118,794, which, in turn is a continuation of application Ser. No. 10/288,747, filed Nov. 6, 2002, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,758,019.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In the manufacture of shingles, it has been known that when shingles are subjected to strong winds, the winds can engage the lower edges or tab portions of the shingles, and bend them upwardly.

On occasion, under strong winds, the tabs can bend upwardly in amounts sufficient that the inherent, internal resistance to substantial bending and perhaps cracking, can be overcome, in that the mat that is formed internally of the shingle, and the asphaltic material on the surfaces of the shingle, may not be sufficient to withstand certain wind conditions.

Various approaches have been made to resist shingle failure via cracking and the like, not all with substantial success.

For example, strips of adhesive material along lower ends of tabs of shingle have been applied, which, when subjected to hot weather conditions, soften an amount sufficient that such adhesive will adhere to the next-subjacent shingle on a roof, eventually harden and thereafter resist upward deflection of shingle tabs under severe wind conditions. However, such adhesive sometimes dries out, or becomes brittle over time, offering reduced adhesion. In other cases, strong wind conditions can exist during high temperature situations when such adhesive located under tabs remains soft, and thus the adhesive does not function in its intended manner.

Other approaches have resorted to thickening the mat and/or asphaltic material, to offer internal resistance to bending, but nonetheless, failures due to wind-related bending of tabs of shingle continue to exist.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed toward providing a shingle, wherein a separate, exterior reinforcement layer is provided outside the rear surface of the shingle, with such layer comprising a material that is not coated or covered by any thick layer of asphalt or the like.

2

Accordingly, it is an object of this invention to provide a novel shingle having a layer on the lower surface of the shingle, that comprises a reinforcing material.

It is a further object of the invention to accomplish the above objects, wherein the reinforcement layer comprises a scrim material.

It is another object of this invention to accomplish the above objects, wherein the reinforcing material extends into either or both of the tab portion of the shingle and the headlap portion of the shingle.

It is a further object of this invention to accomplish the object above, wherein the reinforcing material extends into a nailing zone or other fastening zone of the shingle through which nails or other fasteners pass upon securing the shingle to a roof.

It is a further object of this invention to provide a method of making shingles in accordance with the objects set forth above.

Other objects and advantages of the present invention will be readily understood upon a reading of the following brief descriptions of the drawing figures, detailed descriptions of the preferred embodiments and the appended claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTIONS OF THE DRAWING FIGURES

FIG. 1 is a rear elevational view of a shingle made in accordance with the prior art.

FIG. 2 is an illustration like that of FIG. 1, but wherein the shingle is shown to have a reinforcement layer applied to the rear surface thereof, in accordance with the present invention.

FIG. 2A is a right side view of the shingle of FIG. 2.

FIG. 3 is an illustration like that of FIG. 2, but wherein the reinforcement layer extends farther into the tab portion of the shingle than in the embodiment of FIG. 2.

FIG. 4 is a side view of the shingle of this invention shown resisting torque applied to the tab portion of the shingle under a wind-lifting force that bends the shingle upwardly at approximately 45°.

FIG. 4A is a vertical sectional view of the shingle of FIG. 4, as applied to a roof of steep slope.

FIG. 4B is a top view of an exemplary laminated roofing shingle.

FIG. 4C is a side edge elevation view of two prior art laminated shingles as they are applied to a roof deck, showing one correctly applied fastener and one incorrectly applied fastener.

FIG. 4D is a top plan view of a laminated shingle according to the present invention.

FIG. 5 is a graph showing an example of the absorption of wind-lifting torque upon bending a particular weight of shingle through various angular degrees and the improvement of providing a reinforcement material in accordance with this invention under the same lifting conditions.

FIG. 6 is a view similar to that of FIG. 5, for a somewhat different weight of shingle, and a different weight of mat for the shingle.

FIG. 7 is an illustration similar to that of FIGS. 5 and 6 for a differently constructed shingle.

FIG. 8 is a graph similar to that of FIG. 7, for a shingle have a wider reinforcement layer.

DETAILED DESCRIPTIONS OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Referring now to the drawings in detail, reference is first made to FIG. 1, wherein a prior art shingle is illustrated as

comprising a shingle generally designated by the numeral **10**, constructed as a mat of preferably fiberglass mesh, having asphalt, or some other form of bitumen material impregnated therein, and forming layers on each surface thereof, with a granular material on each exposed surface. On the upper exposed surface, will be granules of a size desired to resist sun and other weather conditions, and on the opposite, or under-surface **11**, there will be preferably smaller granules of a mica, sand or like material, for example. The shingle **10** has a headlap portion **12** and a tab portion **13**, having slotted openings **14** dividing the tab portion **13** into a number of discrete tabs **15**. On the undersurface **11** there is provided preferably but optionally a sheet of release paper or tape **16**, which is removed when the shingle is installed on a roof, but which, for stacking shingles for shipment prevents the shingle from sticking to a subjacent shingle in the stack, which subjacent shingle has a similarly located strip of adhesive material, such as more bitumen, extending longitudinally from edge **17** to edge **18**, on the front surface of the subjacent shingle.

The basic shingle of FIG. **1** may be made in accordance with the teaching of U.S. Pat. No. 6,092,345, U.S. Pat. No. 6,145,265, or U.S. Pat. No. 5,822,943, the complete disclosures of all of which are herein incorporated by reference.

With specific reference to FIGS. **2** and **2A**, it will be seen that a shingle **20** is presented, having a rear surface **21**, with a release strip **26** running from left to right across the lower end of a headlap portion **22**, between edges **27** and **28**, and with the tab portion **23** of the shingle **20** comprising a plurality of tabs **25** separated by vertical, spaced apart slots **24**.

A nailing zone "N" exists on the front surface of the shingle **20**, generally located above the release tape or strip **26**, running between edges **27**, **28** above the slots **24**. Essentially, the shingle **20** is similar to that of the shingle **10** of FIG. **1**, but with a reinforcement layer, preferably of fiberglass, of a width between approximately 3 and 8 cm, and preferably about 2½ inches, that may comprise a scrim layer **29** is added on the rear **21** of the shingle **20**, across the upper end of the tab portion **23**, and across the lower end of the headlap portion **22**, as shown in FIG. **2**, covering the area shown in FIG. **2**, as well as the area disposed beneath the release strip **26**, along the lower end of the headlap portion **22** between edges **27** and **28**, as shown in FIG. **2**. During the construction of the shingle of FIG. **2**, the reinforcement layer **29** is thus applied before the release tape **26** is applied.

Thus, the reinforcement layer **29** may be a fiberglass scrim and will preferably be a woven construction, involving woven strands disposed at right angles to each other, with a preferred density of, for example nine strands in the vertical direction and nine strands in the horizontal direction per square inch of scrim (9×9 per in²).

With reference to FIG. **3**, it will be seen that a shingle **30**, much like the shingle **20**, is provided, having a rear surface **31**, having a headlap portion **32** and tab portion **33**, with the tab portion comprising a plurality of tabs **35** separated by slotted openings **34**, with a release strip **36** extending between left and right edges **37** and **38**, and with a scrim **39** located beneath the release strip **36** as with respect to the embodiment of FIG. **2**, but extending downwardly farther into the tabs **35**, as shown, in that the scrim **39** is essentially about 6 inches wide, running from the upper edge of the release strip **36**, into the tabs, as shown.

It will be apparent that other lengths of scrim **29**, **39**, will be appropriate depending upon the desired resistance to bending under wind conditions, as will be addressed hereinafter.

The scrim layers **29**, **39**, will not be coated with a bitumen or other asphaltic material, nor will they have granules applied thereto, such that the filaments of the scrim **29**, **39**,

especially those extending vertically as shown in FIGS. **2** and **3**, can resist bending and resist failure in the form of the likelihood of forming horizontal cracks across the upper end of the tab portion of the shingle, when the shingle is bent upwardly within its elastic limit under forces applied by winds or other upward lifting forces.

The scrim may have a density other than the 9×9 per in², addressed above, such as but not limited to 8×8 per in² or 7×7 per in², and may be of various compositions other than fiberglass, such as polyester, polypropylene and/or nylon. In lieu of a scrim, the reinforcement layers **29**, **39** may comprise woven or nonwoven thin fabric, plastic film, paper, parchment, foil or the like, either embedded in the asphaltic layer on the rear of the shingle or adhered to the rear of the shingle by an additional post-applied thin layer of asphaltic or non-asphaltic adhesive. The reinforcement layer **29**, **39**, will be adhered to the rear surface **21**, **31** of the shingles of this invention, by means of any suitable adhesive, such as a bitumen or the like, or any other adhesive.

With reference now to FIG. **4**, it will be seen that a roof **40** is fragmentally illustrated, having a shingle **41** fastened thereto by means of a nail, staple, **42** or the like, through the nailing or fastening zone of the shingle as described above with reference to FIG. **2**, and therefore through the reinforcement layer **29**. When wind forces occur in the general direction indicated by the arrow **43** in FIG. **4**, such that they tend to bend the tab portion **44** of the shingle upwardly to an angle "a", as shown by the dotted arrow **45**, the scrim **46** applied to the undersurface of the shingle **41** will tend to resist upward bending of the shingle tab portion **44**, largely because of the resistance to such bending that is provided by the reinforcement layer **29**, **39** as shown in FIGS. **2** and **3** which will resist stretching and thereby inhibit bending.

It will be understood that up to some level of force applied by wind in the direction **43**, the shingle tab portion **44** will bend within its elastic limit in accordance with Hook's law. In this regard, any given weight of shingle, under any particular conditions, will have its own modulus of elasticity, which is a measure of the stiffness or rigidity of the shingle, and within which the shingle will return to its original, flat condition when the force of wind is removed.

With reference to FIG. **4A**, the vertical section of a shingle **41**, as applied to a roof **40** is illustrated, with the roof **40** being similar to that set forth above and the attendant description thereof. It will be seen that the reinforcement material **46**, underlying the shingle **41**, is engaged by the nail or other fastener **42** that is applied through the nailing zone of the shingle **41**, with the shank **49** of the fastener **42** being in secure engagement within the roof **40**, and passing through the reinforcement material **46**. Thus, especially when the reinforcement material **46** is a scrim, a fabric, or any other material that would tend to resist tearing, the fasteners **42** holding shingles on a roof **40**, of the steepness shown, or even a steeper roof, would tend to resist movement of the shingle **41** under conditions of intense heat, and perhaps being walked upon by a worker, from tending to become disengaged from the roof, because the fasteners catch in the reinforcement material **46**, and resist shingle **41** movement, for example from sliding down along the roof in the direction of the arrow **48**.

The preferred laminated roofing shingle **103** in accordance with the present invention is shown in FIG. **4B**. The preferred laminated shingle **103** broadly comprises upper and lower layers **105**, **107**, respectively, joined by sealant **109**. When viewed from the top or front, the shingle comprises a headlap area **110**, a sealant line **115**, a nail zone **120**, and an exposed face area **125**. The exposed face area **125** includes the portion

of upper layer **105** including one or more cutouts which from a plurality of tabs **135**, and the exposed portions of lower layer **107** underlying said portion of upper layer **105**.

Although the shingle **103** depicted in the drawings is a two-ply laminated shingle, other shingle configurations of varying shapes and sizes (e.g., multi-ply shingles having two or more layers, three-tab or multiple tab shingles) are equally within the scope of the present invention.

This so-called nail zone in the prior art shingles is shown in FIG. **4C**. A shingle **150** in the first course of shingles is nailed to the roof deck **154** with a plurality of nails **156**, only one of which is shown for the lowermost shingle **150**. Nail **156** is shown correctly applied. The next adjacent shingle **151** in the next course of shingles is placed over shingle **150** in the first course and is nailed in place in a like manner. However, as may be seen, and to illustrate the problems associated with improperly applied fasteners, nail **155** is shown driven through only one layer of shingle **151** and in a position such that the nail is driven through only one layer of the laminated sheets. Nail **155** is thus driven through the shingle outside of the nail zone. The improper nailing is a direct result of a relatively narrow nail zone. And the nail zone is necessarily relatively narrow in view of the need to make the backing sheet no greater than $\frac{1}{2}$ the width of the top sheet, which as mentioned is a design feature that facilitates stacking and bundling. However, the closer the nails are placed to the lowermost edge of the nail zone, the greater the possibility that water will leak through the nail hole, or that there will be exposed nails on the roof. Furthermore, roof integrity is comprised since the back sheet of shingle **151** may literally slip out from its attachment to the top sheet when the roof becomes hot and the adhesive material sloughs.

Referring to FIG. **4D**, shingle **250** may be seen as having a top sheet **254** with alternating tabs **262** leaving visible cutout portions **252** showing backing sheet **251**, resulting in alternating tabs **262** and cutout portions formed along the lower longitudinal edge **264** of the top sheet **254**. As can clearly be seen in FIG. **4D**, the cutout portions are of various longitudinal dimensions left-to-right between edges **263** of tabs, such that portions of backing sheet **251** are visible through the openings **252** between tabs.

Reference will now be made to the graphs of FIGS. **5-8**, for representative benefits achieved by using a scrim applied to shingles in the manner discussed above, for various weights of shingles having difference mats, and under the same temperature conditions, for comparison purposes.

With reference to FIG. **5**, it will be seen that a shingle version is identified as version **3**, with its control identified as version **3C**, each being a 250 lb. shingle, in weight per square (1 square equals enough shingles to cover 100 ft² of roof) and with 2.5 lb. mat. (in weight per 100 square feet of mat). In each case, the samples were tested at 30° F. The ordinate or vertical measure in the graph is the percent of the applied force or load that is absorbed by the shingle at a given degree of angular bend, as shown in degrees on the abscissa or horizontal line of the chart, under a fixed torque applied to the shingle tab portion, of 6.0 inch-lbs. It will be seen that for the control shingle of FIG. **5**, failure, or inelastic bending to the point that the shingle does not return to its original, flat condition when the force of wind is removed, occurred at about 40° of angular bend, with failure being defined as a crack or permanent bend as distinguished from a bend within the elastic limit. However, for the shingle having a scrim of 2½ inches in width, as shown in FIG. **2**, it will be seen that in FIG. **5** that shingle with the scrim remained within its elastic limit up to about 60° of angular bend, prior to failure. It will thus be seen that the specimen graphed in FIG. **5** having a

scrim applied thereto absorbed about 75% of the torque load applied thereto, for a bend of the tab portion of the shingle of about 60°, before failure.

Referring now to FIG. **6**, wherein a version **4** was matched against a control version **4C**, for a 235 lb. weight of shingle having a 2.6 lb. mat, again at 30°, the control version absorbed about 60% of the torque that was applied, up to about 40° of angular bend, whereas the specimen graphed in FIG. **6** having a 2½ inch wide scrim applied thereto absorbed about 77% of the applied torque load, when subjected to a bend of 60°, before failure.

Referring now to FIG. **7**, wherein yet another shingle **5** was tested against a control shingle **5C**, with the shingle graphed in FIG. **7**, like that of FIG. **6**, also being a 235 lb. weight per stack, but having a 2.5 lb. mat, and likewise having a 2½ inch wide scrim, it will be seen that, whereas the control version absorbed only about 55% of the applied torque at about a 40° bend, the version with the scrim applied thereto absorbed about 70% of the applied load, when bent about 70°. Thus, the effect of a slightly thinner mat was noted for a shingle with scrim applied thereto.

With reference now to FIG. **8**, it will be seen that shingle versions **6** and control shingles **6C** were also shown as comprising a 235 lb. shingle by weight, and a 2½ lb. mat, but wherein, unlike the similar specimen indicated for FIG. **7**, the specimen graphed in FIG. **8** had a 6 inch wide scrim applied thereto. It will be seen that the control version of FIG. **8** tested similarly to that of the control version of FIG. **7**, and that the version with the 6 inch scrim applied thereto likewise tested similarly to that of the scrim-applied version of FIG. **7**.

It has thus been found that the bending tests, performed with a Tinius-Olsen Flexibility Tester to apply the force bending the shingle tab portions **44** in the direction of the arrow **45** of FIG. **4** all show that the specimens with scrim reinforcement embedded on their rear sides exhibit improved resistance to failure upon bending, and thus are capable of maintaining and carrying applied stress due to bending to much higher degrees than shingle specimens without the scrim. Thus, shingles with the scrim applied thereto in accordance with this invention provide improved resistance to damage due to wind uplift.

Nail pull tests were run on a plurality of shingles, with and without reinforcement layers. The tests were run on 4 inch by 4 inch specimens, placed over a plate having a 2½ inch center hole, with the nail being driven through the shingle, so as to place the nail at the center of the 2½ inch hole, which in turn is at the center of the 4 inch by 4 inch plate, and with the nail then being pulled upwardly to determine the strength of the shingle in resisting failure or tearing against the force of the nail pull. This is a standardized test for shingles pursuant to ASTM.

First, ten specimens of control shingles were tested, having no reinforcement layer on their rear surface. The resistance strength of the control samples prior to failure, averaged 29.69 lb.±3.78 lb. deviation over the ten shingles tested.

Next, ten specimens of the same type of shingle as the control shingle were tested, but which deviated from the control shingle, in that they had a 17 gram polyester mat reinforcement layer on their rear surfaces. The resistance strength of these specimen resisting the nail pull, prior to failure, averaged 33.27 lb.±3.52 lb. deviation over the ten specimens tested.

Then, ten specimens of shingle constructed the same as the control shingles, but different from the control shingles in that they each had a reinforcement layer on the rear surface, of 30 gram polyester mat. The resistance strength, of these speci-

mens in resisting the nail pull prior to failure averaged 36.96 lb. \pm 3.98 lb. deviation over the ten specimens tested.

Then, ten specimens of the same type of specimens as the control shingle were tested, but wherein the reinforcement layer was a fiberglass scrim having nine strands in the vertical direction and nine strands in the horizontal direction per square inch (9 \times 9 per in²). The ten specimens with the fiberglass scrim on the rear surface averaged 54.13 lb. resistance to the nail pull test prior to failure, \pm 4.02 lb. deviation over the ten specimens tested.

These nail pull strength tests thus revealed that the fiberglass scrim as a reinforcement layer provided the greatest resistance prior to failure, although each of the 17 gram polyester mat and 30 gram polyester mat provided greater resistance prior to failure than the control specimens without any reinforcement layer.

It will thus be seen that, when nails or other fasteners are applied to the shingle, for fastening the shingle to a roof, they pass through the reinforcement layer. This more securely fastens the shingle to a roof, and is especially desirable when applied to roofs having steep slopes, in that it can sometimes occur on very hot sunny days, on a steep roof, perhaps with workmen walking on the roof, that the stress on the shingle can cause the shingle to tear above the fastening points and perhaps become dislodged from the roof. By fastening the shingle to a roof through the reinforcement zone in such a situation, the fasteners are more prone to maintain the shingle adhered to the roof. This can be especially so if the reinforcement material that is applied to the rear of the roof comprises a scrim of crossing strands, a fabric, or other material that is resistant to tearing.

It will be apparent from the forgoing that various modifications may be made in the details of construction, as well as with the use of shingles of this invention, all within the spirit and scope of the invention as defined in the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A shingle having front and rear exterior surfaces and being comprised of shingle material, with the shingle having a width defined by upper and lower edges and a length defined by right and left edges, the shingle comprising:

- (a) a base layer of mat having front and rear surfaces;
- (b) a coating of asphaltic material on both front and rear surfaces of the mat;
- (c) coatings of granular material on said both front and rear surfaces of the mat, which, together with said base layer of mat and said coating of asphaltic material comprise a first thickness layer;
- (d) a longitudinal fastening zone between right and left shingle edges, generally intermediate said upper and lower edges;
- (e) and an at least partially externally visible generally longitudinal reinforcement second thickness layer of a substantially thinner dimension than said first thickness layer; said reinforcement second thickness layer being adhered to an exterior surface of said shingle and extending at least substantially between right and left edges of the shingle; and
- (f) said reinforcement second thickness layer extending at least partially lower than the fastening zone, toward the lower edge of the shingle or at least partially into the fastening zone toward the upper edge of the shingle, said reinforcement second thickness layer providing increased nail pull resistance.

2. A roof with a plurality of shingles according to claim 1 fastened thereto.

3. The shingle of claim 1, the reinforcement second thickness layer being selected from the group consisting of polyester mats and fiberglass scrims.

4. The shingle of claim 1 wherein the increased nail pull resistance is statistically significant at at least the 90 percent confidence level.

5. The shingle of claim 1 wherein the reinforcement second thickness layer provides at least a 12 percent increase in nail pull resistance.

6. The shingle of claim 5 wherein the reinforcement second thickness layer provides at least a 24 percent increase in nail pull resistance.

7. A shingle having front and rear exterior surfaces and being comprised of shingle material, with the shingle having a width defined by upper and lower edges and a length defined by right and left edges, the shingle comprising:

- (a) a base layer of mat having front and rear surfaces;
- (b) a coating of asphaltic material on both front and rear surfaces of the mat;
- (c) coatings of granular material on said both front and rear surfaces of the mat, which, together with said base layer of mat and said coating of asphaltic material comprise a first thickness layer;
- (d) a longitudinal fastening zone between right and left shingle edges, generally intermediate said upper and lower edges;
- (e) and an at least partially externally visible generally longitudinal reinforcement second thickness layer of a substantially thinner dimension than said first thickness layer; said reinforcement second thickness layer being provided on an exterior surface of said shingle and extending at least substantially between right and left edges of the shingle; and
- (f) said reinforcement second thickness layer extending at least partially lower than the fastening zone, toward the lower edge of the shingle or at least partially into the fastening zone toward the upper edge of the shingle.

8. A roof with a plurality of shingles according to claim 7 fastened thereto.

9. The shingle of claim 7, wherein the reinforcement second thickness layer is provided on the rear exterior surface of the shingle.

10. A pair of laminated shingles applied to a roof in two adjacent courses, each laminated shingle having a top sheet applied over a backing sheet, each backing sheet having a lower marginal edge, each top sheet having a headlap marginal edge, wherein the second course of shingles is applied over the first course of shingles such that the lower marginal edge of the backing sheet of the second course of shingles is coextensive with the headlap marginal edge of the top sheet of the first course of shingles;

each shingle having front and rear exterior surfaces and being comprised of shingle material, with the shingle having a width defined by upper and lower edges and a length defined by right and left edges, each shingle comprising:

- (a) a base layer of mat having front and rear surfaces;
- (b) a coating of asphaltic material on both front and rear surfaces of the mat;
- (c) coatings of granular material on said both front and rear surfaces of the mat, which, together with said base layer of mat and said coating of asphaltic material comprise a first thickness layer;
- (d) a longitudinal fastening zone between right and left shingle edges, generally intermediate said upper and lower edges; and

(e) an at least partially externally visible generally longitudinal reinforcement second thickness layer of a substantially thinner dimension than said first thickness layer; said reinforcement second thickness layer being adhered to an exterior surface of said shingle, being at least partially within said fastening zone and being at least substantially between right and left edges of the shingle. 5

11. A pair of shingles according to claim **10**, with said reinforcement second thickness layer extending lower than the fastening zone, toward the lower edge of the shingle. 10

12. A pair of shingles according to claim **10**, wherein the reinforcement second thickness layer is on the rear exterior surface of the shingle.

13. A pair of shingles according to claim **11**, wherein the reinforcement second thickness layer is on the rear exterior surface of the shingle. 15

* * * * *